

WARING PROBLEM FOR FINITE QUASISIMPLE GROUPS

MICHAEL LARSEN, ANER SHALEV, AND PHAM HUU TIEP

ABSTRACT. The classical Waring problem deals with expressing every natural number as a sum of $g(k)$ k th powers. Similar problems for finite simple groups were studied recently, and in this paper we study them for finite quasisimple groups G .

We show that for a fixed group word $w \neq 1$ and large enough G we have $w(G)^3 = G$, namely every element of G is a product of 3 values of w . For various families of finite quasisimple groups, including covers of alternating groups, we obtain a stronger result, namely $w(G)^2 = G$. However, in contrast with the case of simple groups studied in [LST], we show that $w(G)^2 = G$ need not hold for all large G ; moreover, if $k > 2$ then $x^k y^k$ is not surjective on infinitely many finite quasisimple groups.

The case $k = 2$ turns out to be exceptional. Indeed, our last result shows that every element of a finite quasisimple group is a product of two squares. This can be regarded as a non-commutative analogue of Lagrange's four squares theorem.

Michael Larsen was partially supported by NSF grants DMS-0800705 and DMS-1101424. Aner Shalev was partially supported by an ERC Advanced grant 247034. The first and the second named authors were partially supported by a Bi-National Science Foundation United States-Israel grant 2008194. Pham Huu Tiep was partially supported by NSF grant DMS-0901241.

1. INTRODUCTION

The classical Waring problem deals with expressing every natural number as a sum of $g(k)$ k th powers. Analogous group-theoretic problems were studied extensively in recent years, with emphasis on finite simple groups, see [Sh], [LSh], [LSh2], [Sh2], [NP], [LBST1], [LST] and the references therein.

A result proved in [LST] shows that for each k , every element of a sufficiently large (non-abelian) finite simple group can be written in the form $x^k y^k$. Moreover, if $k = 2$ this is true with no exceptions, namely every element of a finite simple group is a product of two squares, as proved independently in [LBST2] and in [GM].

Can these results be extended to finite quasisimple groups? Recall that a quasisimple group is a perfect group which is simple modulo its center. Expressing non-identity elements as powers, or as word values, is often harder when these elements are central, which makes the case of quasisimple groups more challenging.

It is somewhat surprising that the first result mentioned above cannot be generalized to quasisimple groups. In particular we show in Corollary 4.3 below that *for any $k > 2$ there are infinitely many finite quasisimple groups G such that the map $(x, y) \mapsto x^k y^k$ from $G \times G$ to G is not surjective*. The simplest counterexample of this kind is $G = \mathrm{SL}_2(q)$ where $q \equiv 3$ or $5 \pmod{8}$, and $k = 4$: here the central involution of G is not a product of two 4th powers (see Lemma 4.1 below).

In contrast with these negative results, the case $k = 2$ turns out to be exceptional in a strong sense. We show in Theorem 5.1 below that *every element of a finite quasisimple group is a product of two squares*. This general result can be regarded as a non-commutative analogue of Lagrange's classical four squares theorem.

Powers are a particular case of *words*, namely elements w of free groups F_d of rank d . Various Waring type results for finite simple groups generalize to any non-trivial word $w \neq 1$. Given a word $w \in F_d$ and a group G we may consider a *word map* $G^d \rightarrow G$ induced by substitution, and we denote its image by $w(G)$. For example, if $w = [x, y]$, the commutator word, then it was shown in [LBST1] that $w(G) = G$ for all finite simple groups G , proving Ore's longstanding conjecture.

Word maps on finite simple groups need not be surjective (e.g. x^2 is never surjective). However, the main theorem of [LST] asserts that for any pair w_1, w_2 of fixed non-trivial words, $w_1(G)w_2(G) = G$ for all finite simple groups G of sufficiently high order. This result succeeded

earlier work of Shalev [Sh] and Larsen-Shalev [LSH] proving the same statement for a triple of words w_1, w_2, w_3 .

Theorem 2.1 below generalizes the earlier result to quasisimple groups: *for any fixed non-trivial words w_1, w_2, w_3 and for all large enough finite quasisimple groups G we have $w_1(G)w_2(G)w_3(G) = G$* . In particular it follows that for each $k > 1$ the word map induced by $x^k y^k z^k$ is surjective on all large enough finite quasisimple groups.

For quasisimple groups G such that $G/Z(G)$ is an alternating group a stronger result holds. Here we show (in Theorem 3.1 below) that $w_1(G)w_2(G) = G$ provided G is large enough. A similar result (Theorems 3.8 and 3.9 below) holds for covers of odd-dimensional orthogonal groups and of centerless even-dimensional orthogonal groups of large enough *rank*. On the contrary, this result fails for all other families of finite quasisimple groups of Lie type with nontrivial center (in both directions, whether we let the rank grow or we let the size of the definition field grow while the rank remains bounded), as well as for odd-dimensional odd-characteristic spin groups of *bounded* rank, cf. Theorem 4.6.

Finally, we note that while the commutator map is surjective on all finite simple groups, this is not the case for finite quasisimple groups. Indeed, in [LBST3] the finite quasisimple groups in which every element is a commutator are found, and the (finitely many) exceptions are listed. Thus the word $x^2 y^2$ behaves better on finite quasisimple groups than the commutator word $[x, y]$ (being always surjective), which we find rather intriguing; it is in fact the first non-primitive word proved to be surjective on all finite quasisimple groups.

We are grateful to Eamonn O'Brien for computational help in proving Theorem 5.1 below. We note that after this paper was completed, we learned about the recent preprint [BG] by Bandman and Garion, studying word maps of the form $x^a y^b$ on $\mathrm{PSL}_2(q)$ and $\mathrm{SL}_2(q)$ using the trace method; in particular they provide an independent proof of Lemma 4.1 below.

2. AN UPPER BOUND FOR THE WIDTH

In this section we study the minimal n such that $w(G)^n = G$, where $w \neq 1$ is a fixed word and G is a large finite quasisimple group. This n is sometimes referred to as the *width* of w in G , and we show that it is at most 3. In fact we prove a somewhat more general result, as follows.

Theorem 2.1. *If w_1, w_2, w_3 are non-trivial words, then for all finite quasisimple groups G of sufficiently high order, $w_1(G)w_2(G)w_3(G) = G$.*

Proof. We apply the classification of finite simple groups to $G/Z(G)$. The sporadic cases can be ignored. For alternating cases we prove a stronger result – see Theorem 3.1 below. That leaves the cases when G is a group of Lie type.

We provide two proofs for this case. The first, and shorter one, is based on the so-called Gowers’ methods (see [Go]) and the Nikolov-Pyber paper [NP]. It is shown there that if G is a finite group, $m(G)$ is the minimal degree of a non-trivial irreducible character of G , and Y_1, Y_2, Y_3 are subsets of G of size exceeding $|G|/m(G)^{1/3}$, then we have $Y_1Y_2Y_3 = G$.

Now let G be a finite quasisimple group such that $T := G/Z(G)$ is a simple group of Lie type. Fix a word $w \neq 1$ and suppose G is sufficiently large. Lower bounds on $|w(T)|$ are given in [La], [LSh] and [NP]. Suppose $T = X_r(q)$ where r is the Lie rank and q the field size. By [La] we have, for bounded r , $|w(T)| > a|T|$ for some $a > 0$ (depending on the bound on r). For T classical not of type A we have $|w(T)| \geq cr^{-1}|T|$ for some absolute constant $c > 0$ by [LSh]. And for T of type A and r large enough it follows from bounds in [NP] that $|w(T)| > q^{-br}|T|$ for any fixed constant $b > 1/4$. In what follows we use this bound with fixed b satisfying $1/4 < b < 1/3$.

We clearly have $m(G) \geq p(T)$, where $p(T)$ is the minimal dimension of a non-trivial projective representation of T , and lower bounds on $p(T)$ are given in [LSe]. In particular it is known that $p(T) \geq dq^r$ for some absolute constant $d > 0$.

We also have $|Z(G)| \leq |S(T)|$, where $S(T)$ is the Schur multiplier of T . The values of $|S(T)|$ are well known, and we have $|S(T)| \leq r + 1$ with finitely many exceptions. If r is large enough then $(r + 1)/(dq^r)^{1/3}$ is smaller than both q^{-br} and cr^{-1} ; and for bounded r and large q we have $(r + 1)/(dq^r)^{1/3} < a$.

Putting everything together we conclude that, for fixed $w \neq 1$ and large enough G we have

$$|w(G)| \geq |w(T)| > |T||S(T)|/p(T)^{1/3} \geq |G|/m(G)^{1/3}.$$

Thus, given $w_1, w_2, w_3 \neq 1$ we obtain lower bounds on $|w_i(G)|$ as above, and these imply, using [NP], that $w_1(G)w_2(G)w_3(G) = G$ if G is sufficiently large. This completes the first proof of Theorem 1.

We now provide the second proof, which does not rely on [NP], and proves a bit more, namely: *there exist conjugacy classes C_1, C_2, C_3 of*

G such that $C_i \subset w_i(G)$ and $C_1C_2C_3 = G$. This result cannot be proved by Gowers' method since conjugacy classes $C_i \subset G$ are not large enough.

It suffices to treat the case that G is centrally closed, so this is what we do. If G is of Suzuki or Ree type, it is simple, so the result is covered by the main theorem of [LST]. We may therefore assume that $G = \underline{G}(\mathbb{F}_q)$ where $\underline{G}$ is a simple, simply connected algebraic group over the field $\mathbb{F}_q$.

For any fixed r_0 , it suffices to prove the theorem when the rank r of $\underline{G}$ is greater than r_0 . Indeed, by the proof of [LSh, Theorem 1.7], for fixed rank and q sufficiently large, every non-central element of G lies in $w_1(G)w_2(G)$. For fixed rank, the order of $Z(G)$ is bounded independent of q , while $|w_3(G)|$ goes to infinity with q , for instance by [La]. Hence for $z \in Z(G)$ we see that $w_1(G)w_2(G) \cap zw_3(G)^{-1} \neq \emptyset$ for bounded r and large q , yielding $z \in w_1(G)w_2(G)w_3(G)$ as required.

Thus, we have reduced to the case where $\underline{G}$ is a simply connected group of type A , B , C , or D , of sufficiently high rank. For cases B , C , and D , we use [LSh, Theorem 1.13] to prove that $|w_i(G)| > cr^{-1}|G|$ for some non-zero constant c which depends on w_i but not on G . By Schur's method, it suffices to prove that there exist elements $g_i \in w_i(G)$ such that

$$\sum_{\chi \in \text{Irr}(G)} \frac{\chi(g_1)\chi(g_2)\chi(g_3)\chi(h)}{\chi(1)^2} \neq 0$$

for all $h \in G$. Indeed, this implies that $C_1C_2C_3 = G$, where C_i is the conjugacy class of g_i in G ($i = 1, 2, 3$).

By the triangle inequality, it suffices to prove

$$\sum_{\chi \neq 1_G} \frac{|\chi(g_1)\chi(g_2)\chi(g_3)|}{\chi(1)} < 1.$$

If there exist $g_i \in w_i(G)$ such that $|\chi(g_i)| \leq \chi(1)^{1/6}$ for all $\chi \in \text{Irr}(G)$, then the sum above is at most $\sum_{\chi \neq 1_G} \chi(1)^{-1/2}$, which tends to 0 as $|G| \rightarrow \infty$ by [LiSh, Theorem 1.2]. Hence the theorem follows in this case.

Consider the set

$$B_G := \bigcup_{\chi \in \text{Irr}(G)} \{g \in G : |\chi(g)| > \chi(1)^{1/6}\}.$$

Since $\sum_{g \in G} |\chi(g)|^2 = |G|$ for each character $\chi \in \text{Irr}(G)$, we have

$$|B_G| \leq \sum_{\chi \neq 1_G} |\{g \in G : |\chi(g)| > \chi(1)^{1/6}\}| \leq \sum_{\chi \neq 1_G} \frac{|G|}{\chi(1)^{1/3}}.$$

If $m(G)$ denotes the minimal degree of a non-trivial irreducible representation of G as before, then

$$\sum_{\chi \neq 1_G} \chi(1)^{-1/3} \leq \sum_{\chi \neq 1_G} \chi(1)^{-1/6} \chi(1)^{-1/6} \leq m(G)^{-1/6} \sum_{\chi \neq 1_G} \chi(1)^{-1/6}.$$

By [LiSh, Theorem 1.2] again, the sum on the right tends to 0 for r sufficiently large, and this implies

$$|B_G| \leq \frac{|G|}{m(G)^{1/6}}.$$

By [LSe], we have $cr^{-1} > m(G)^{-1/6}$ for large r . Now, if $\underline{G}$ is not of type A this implies $|w_i(G)| \geq cr^{-1}|G| > |B_G|$ when r is sufficiently large, so there are elements $g_i \in w_i(G)$ ($i = 1, 2, 3$) such that $\chi(g_i) \leq \chi(1)^{1/6}$ for all $\chi \in G^*$, and this proves the theorem, except in case A .

For $G = \mathrm{SL}_{r+1}$ and $G = \mathrm{SU}_{r+1}$, [LST, Proposition 6.2.2] and [LST, Proposition 6.2.3] respectively show that $w(G)$ contains a regular semi-simple element $g \in G$ whose associated permutation is either a single r -cycle or a product of two disjoint cycles of different length and of total length r . In either case, the centralizer of this permutation in $\mathbf{S}_r$ is abelian and of order $O(r^2)$, so by [GLL, Corollary 6], $|\chi(g)| = O(r^3)$ for all $\chi \in \mathrm{Irr}(G)$. It follows that for r sufficiently large, $|\chi(g)| \leq \chi(1)^{1/6}$ for all irreducible characters of G . We then proceed as for the B , C , and D cases. $\square$

3. WIDTH 2 RESULTS FOR SOME FAMILIES OF QUASISIMPLE GROUPS

In this section we improve the bound on the width for various families of quasisimple groups, reducing it from 3 to 2.

The following theorem treats the alternating group side of the question. Apart from its intrinsic interest it also provides a useful tool in proving width 2 for various covers of simple groups of Lie type.

Theorem 3.1. *Let w_1 and w_2 be non-trivial words. For all n sufficiently large, if G is a quasisimple group with $G/Z(G) \cong \mathbf{A}_n$, then $w_1(G)w_2(G) = G$.*

The proof depends on two preliminary lemmas.

Lemma 3.2. *Let H_1 , H_2 , and G be finite groups, $\phi_i: H_i \rightarrow G/Z(G)$ be homomorphisms, and $x_i \in w_i(H_i)$ be elements such that*

$$\phi_1(x_1)^{G/Z(G)} \phi_2(x_2)^{G/Z(G)} = G/Z(G)$$

and such that the elements of G lying over $\phi_1(x_1)$ lie in a single conjugacy class of G . Then $w_1(G)w_2(G) = G$.

Proof. Let $\tilde{g} \in G$ map to $g \in G/Z(G)$. There exist elements $y_i \in w_i(G/Z(G))$ such that y_i is conjugate to x_i and $y_1 y_2 = g$. Therefore there exist elements $\tilde{y}_i \in w_i(G)$ mapping to y_i such that $\tilde{y}_1 \tilde{y}_2 = \tilde{g} \tilde{z}$ for some element $\tilde{z} \in Z(G)$. However, $\tilde{z}^{-1} \tilde{y}_1$ is conjugate to $\tilde{y}_1$ and therefore also lies in $w_1(G)$, so $g \in w_1(G) w_2(G)$. $\square$

Lemma 3.3. *Suppose that there exist finite groups I_k and J_k for $k = 1, 2$ and integers $d, e \geq 5$ with the following properties:*

- *For $1 \leq k \leq 2$, $w_k(I_k)$ contains an element y_k of order d ;*
- *For $1 \leq k \leq 2$, $w_k(J_k)$ contains an element z_k of order e ;*
- *$|I_1| \cdot |I_2|$ and $|J_1| \cdot |J_2|$ are relatively prime;*
- *d is even.*

Then for all n sufficiently large, every quasisimple group G with $G/Z(G) \cong A_n$ satisfies $w_1(G) w_2(G) = G$.

Proof. Assuming $n \geq 8$, either $G \cong A_n$ or $G \cong \tilde{A}_n$ is a double cover of A_n . Let $H_1 = I_1 \times J_1$ and $H_2 = I_2 \times J_2$. By hypothesis, $|J_1|$ and $|J_2|$ are odd and prime to $|I_1|$ and $|I_2|$. Therefore, for n sufficiently large, there exist non-negative integers a_1, a_2, b_1, b_2 such that a_1 and a_2 are even,

$$a_1 |I_1| = a_2 |I_2|, \quad b_1 |J_1| = b_2 |J_2|,$$

and

$$a_1 |I_1| + b_1 |J_1| = n.$$

We define $\phi_i: I_i \times J_i \rightarrow A_n$ as follows. The regular representation embeds I_k in $S_{|I_k|}$ and J_k in $S_{|J_k|}$; the latter has image in $A_{|J_k|}$. Composing with the diagonal embeddings $S_{|I_k|} \rightarrow S_{|I_k|}^{a_k}$ and $S_{|J_k|} \rightarrow S_{|J_k|}^{b_k}$ and the embedding

$$S_{|I_k|}^{a_k} \times S_{|J_k|}^{b_k} \rightarrow S_{a_k |I_k| + b_k |J_k|} = S_n,$$

we have image in A_n , since a_k is even. We let $x_k = (y_k, z_k) \in H_k$ and apply Lemma 3.2. As $\phi_1(x_1)$ has at least $a_1 \geq 2$ cycles of even length d , by [Sch, §9], the inverse image of $\phi_1(x_1)$ in $G = \tilde{A}_n$ lies in a single conjugacy class. As $\phi_1(x_1)$ and $\phi_2(x_2)$ each consist of $a_1 |I_1|/d$ d -cycles and $b_1 |J_1|/e$ e -cycles, if n is sufficiently large, the product of their conjugacy classes in A_n is all of A_n by [LSh2, Theorem 1.10 (4)]. $\square$

We can now prove Theorem 3.1.

Proof. It suffices to show that the hypotheses of Lemma 3.3 can always be satisfied. For every prime p , let $U_p^n = \ker(\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}_p) \rightarrow \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z}))$. For all $n \geq 3$, $x \in U_p^n \setminus U_p^{n+1}$ implies $x^p \in U_p^{n+1} \setminus U_p^{n+2}$. By the Baire category theorem, there exists an injective map from any free group to U_p^3 , so in particular, for any non-trivial word w , $w(U_p^3)$ contains some

element $\alpha \in U_p^3 \setminus \{1\}$. Let $r \geq 3$ denote the largest integer for which $\alpha \in U_p^r$. Then the image of α in U_p^3/U_p^{r+l} has order p^l . Thus, for every p^l , the finite p -group U_p^3/U_p^{r+l} has an element of order p^l in the image of the word map w . Applying this for $p = 2$ and $p = 5$, we can satisfy the hypotheses of Lemma 3.3 with $d = 8$, $e = 5$. $\square$

It is intriguing that the proof of Theorem 3.1 on covers of alternating groups uses p -adic groups (as well as new character bounds for symmetric groups via [LSh2]).

Next we prove width two for covers of odd-dimensional orthogonal groups of large enough rank.

Lemma 3.4. *Let the prime $p > 2$ be coprime to $n \geq 3$ and let q be a power of p . Then the double cover $H := \tilde{A}_{2n}$ of the alternating group A_{2n} embeds in $G := \text{Spin}_{2n-1}(q)$, in such a way that the central involution z of H becomes central in G .*

Proof. Consider the natural action of S_{2n} on the $2n$ -dimensional space $W = \langle e_i \mid 1 \leq i \leq 2n \rangle_{\mathbb{F}_q}$, which fixes the non-degenerate quadratic form Q defined via $Q(e_i) = 1/2$ and $e_i \perp e_j$ whenever $i \neq j$. As an S_{2n} -module, W splits into the direct sum $V \oplus I$, where $I := \langle \sum_i e_i \rangle$ and $V := I^\perp$. Then the transposition $(1, 2)$ acts on V as the reflection ρ_u where $u := e_1 - e_2$, and similarly the transposition $(3, 4)$ acts as the reflection ρ_v where $v := e_3 - e_4$. As the action of A_{2n} on V is faithful, we may identify A_{2n} with its image in $\Omega(V)$.

Since $Q(u) = Q(v) = 1$, $u^2 = v^2 = e$, the identity element in the Clifford algebra $C(V)$. In fact, u and v both belong to the Clifford group $\Gamma(V)$. The conjugation action on V induces a surjective homomorphism $\varphi : \Gamma(V) \rightarrow SO(V)$, with

$$\varphi(u) = -\rho_u, \quad \varphi(v) = -\rho_v, \quad \varphi(uv) = \rho_u \rho_v = (12)(34).$$

Moreover, $uv \cdot uv = -u^2 v^2 = -e$ and $vu \cdot uv = e$. In particular, uv is an element of order 4 in $G := \text{Spin}(V) \cong \text{Spin}_{2n-1}(q)$ (see e.g. [TZ, §6] for basic facts about spin groups). Recall that φ projects G onto $\Omega(V)$ with kernel $\langle -e \rangle \cong C_2$. Taking $H := \varphi^{-1}(A_{2n})$, we see that $H/\langle -e \rangle \cong A_{2n}$, and H contains the inverse image uv of order 4 of $(1, 2)(3, 4)$. It follows that $H \cong \tilde{A}_{2n}$. $\square$

The following statements are analogues of Lemma 3.4 for symplectic and orthogonal groups:

Lemma 3.5. *Let $p > 2$ be any prime and let q be a power of p .*

(i) Suppose $n \geq 9$ is an odd square. Then $H := \tilde{A}_n$ embeds in $G := \Omega_{2(n-3)/2}^+(q)$, in such a way that the central involution z of H becomes central in G .

(ii) Suppose $n \equiv 4, 6 \pmod{8}$, and $p \nmid n$. Then $H := \tilde{A}_n$ embeds in $G := \text{Sp}_{2(n-2)/2}(q)$, in such a way that the central involution z of H becomes central in G .

Proof. It is well known that H has faithful irreducible representations of degree $2^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor - 1}$ (the so-called *basic spin representations*), one if $2 \mid n$ and two isomorphism classes if n is odd. Let α_n denote the character of any of these representations. In the case of (i), it was shown in [Gow] that there is an H -invariant unimodular lattice Λ affording the H -character α_n and moreover $V := \Lambda/p\Lambda$ is irreducible over H . The action of H on $\Lambda/p\Lambda$ gives rise to an irreducible embedding

$$H \hookrightarrow \Omega(V) \cong \Omega_{2(n-3)/2}^\epsilon(p) \leq \Omega_{2(n-3)/2}^\epsilon(q),$$

and moreover the type ϵ is $+$ since $\Omega_{2(n-3)/2}^\epsilon(p)$ contains the central involution -1_V (cf. [KL, Proposition 2.5.13]).

In the case of (ii), we also have $\mathbb{Q}(\alpha_n) = \mathbb{Q}$ and $\alpha_n \pmod{p}$ is irreducible but of type $-$, cf. the proof of [T, Theorem 1.2]. It follows that H acts faithfully and irreducibly on a non-degenerate symplectic space $V = \mathbb{F}_q^{2(n-2)/2}$. $\square$

Now we prove the following extension of [LST, Proposition 6.3.1]:

Corollary 3.6. *Let w_1 and w_2 be non-trivial words and $k \geq 3$ an integer. Then there exists N such that for all $l > N$ and all q ,*

$$w_1(\text{Spin}_{2kl+1}(q))w_2(\text{Spin}_{2kl+1}(q)) = \text{Spin}_{2kl+1}(q).$$

Proof. Let $L := \text{Spin}_{2kl+1}(q)$. The proof of [LST, Proposition 6.3.1] actually shows that there exists N such that $w_1(L)w_2(L)$ contains any non-central element of L , for all $l > N$ and for all q . In particular, we are done if q is even. Suppose $q = p^a$ and $p > 2$ is a prime. Since $Z(L) = \langle z \rangle \cong C_2$, we need to show that $z \in w_1(L)w_2(L)$.

By Theorem 3.1, there is N_1 such that $w_1(\tilde{A}_n)w_2(\tilde{A}_n) = \tilde{A}_n$ for all $n \geq N_1$. Set $N_2 := N_1$ if $p \nmid N_1$ and $N_2 := N_1 + 1$ if $p \mid N_1$. By Lemma 3.4 there is an embedding $H := \tilde{A}_{2N_2} \hookrightarrow \text{Spin}_{2N_2-1}(q)$ sending the central involution z_1 of H to the central involution z_2 of $\text{Spin}_{2N_2-1}(q)$.

Replacing N by $\max(N, N_2)$ we may assume $N \geq N_2$. Then there is an embedding $\text{Spin}_{2N_2-1}(q) \hookrightarrow L$ sending the central involution z_2 of $\text{Spin}_{2N_2-1}(q)$ to z (this can be seen by applying [LBST3, Lemma 4.1]). Thus we can embed $H = \tilde{A}_{2N_2}$ into L and identify z_1 with z . It follows that $z \in w_1(H)w_2(H) \subseteq w_1(L)w_2(L)$, and so we are done. $\square$

Theorem 3.1 and Lemma 3.5(i) yield the following extension of [LST, Proposition 6.3.2]:

Corollary 3.7. *If $w = w_1 w_2$, where w_1 and w_2 are non-trivial disjoint words, there exists an integer N such that if $2n$ is divisible by 2^N , for every odd prime power q , $w(\text{Spin}_{2n}^+(q))$ contains $Z(\text{Spin}_{2n}^+(q))$.*

Proof. By Theorem 3.1, there is some N_1 depending on w such that $w(\tilde{\mathbf{A}}_m)$ contains the central involution of $\tilde{\mathbf{A}}_m$ for all $m \geq N_1$. Now choose $m \geq \max\{N_1, 9\}$ to be an odd square. By Lemma 3.5(i), $w(\Omega_{2^{(m-3)/2}}^+(q))$ contains the central involution of $\Omega_{2^{(m-3)/2}}^+(q)$. Now for any n divisible by $2^{(m-3)/2}$, by embedding $\Omega_{2^{(m-3)/2}}^+(q)$ diagonally into $\Omega_{2n}^+(q)$, we see that $w(\Omega_{2n}^+(q))$ contains -1_V .

Let $V = \mathbb{F}_q^{2n}$ be the natural module for $\Omega_{2n}^+(q)$, with an invariant quadratic form Q . The conjugation action of the Clifford group $\Gamma(V)$ on V induces a surjective homomorphism $\varphi : \Gamma(V) \rightarrow GO(V)$. Also, $Z(\text{Spin}(V)) = \{e, -e, t, -t\} \cong C_2^2$, where e is the identity element in the Clifford algebra $C(V)$, and $\varphi(t) = -1_V$. Let $u \in V$ be any non-singular vector. Then $u \in \Gamma(V)$ and

$$t^{-1} u t u^{-1} = \varphi(t^{-1})(u) \cdot u^{-1} = -u \cdot u^{-1} = -e,$$

i.e. $u t u^{-1} = -t$. Also observe that $G := \text{Spin}(V)$ is normal in $\Gamma(V)$. Since $w(\Omega_{2n}^+(q))$ contains -1_V and $\Omega_{2n}^+(q) = G/\langle -e \rangle$, we may assume that $t \in w(G)$. Conjugating by u , we also get $-t \in w(G)$. Certainly $e \in w(G)$. On the other hand, the proof of Corollary 3.6 shows that $w(\text{Spin}_{2n-1}(q))$ contains the central involution of $\text{Spin}_{2n-1}(q)$ when n is large enough. Embedding $\text{Spin}_{2n-1}(q)$ in G , we get that $-e \in w(G)$. $\square$

Theorem 3.8. *Let w_1 and w_2 be non-trivial words. For all n sufficiently large and for all q , if G is a quasisimple group with $G/Z(G) \cong \Omega_{2n+1}(q)$, then $w_1(G)w_2(G) = G$.*

Proof. 1) By the main result of [LST] we may assume that q is odd and furthermore $G = \text{Spin}_{2n+1}(q)$. We proceed along the lines of the proof of [LST, Proposition 6.3.5]. In particular, this proof shows that there is some $B > 0$ depending only on w_1 and w_2 such that $w_1(G)w_2(G)$ contains all elements $g \in G$ with support $\text{supp}(g) > B$. Recall that the support $\text{supp}(g)$ is defined in [LST] to be the codimension of the largest eigenspace of $\varphi(g)$ acting on the $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q$ -space $V \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_q} \overline{\mathbb{F}}_q$, where $V = \mathbb{F}_q^{2n+1}$ is the natural module for G , and $\varphi : G \rightarrow \Omega(V)$ is the natural projection. Also, $\ker(\varphi) = Z(G) \cong C_2$.

It remains to show that every element $g \in G$ of support $\leq B$ lies in $w(G)$, where we define $w(X) := w_1(X)w_2(X)$ for any group X .

Without any loss we may assume $n > 2B$. By [LST, Proposition 4.1.2], there is a unique eigenvalue λ of $\varphi(g)$ such that $\text{codim } \ker(\varphi(g) - \lambda) = \text{supp}(g)$ and $\lambda = \pm 1$.

2) First we consider the case $\lambda = 1$. By Corollary 3.6, there exists $N \geq B$ (depending on w_1, w_2) such that $w(\text{Spin}_{6l+1}(q)) = \text{Spin}_{6l+1}(q)$ for all $l \geq N$. Now assume that $n \geq 4N$. Then it is shown in part 3a) of the proof of [LST, Proposition 6.3.5] that g preserves an orthogonal decomposition $V = W \oplus U$ with W of dimension $6N + 1$ and $\varphi(g)|_U = 1_U$. By [LBST3, Lemma 4.1], G contains a subgroup $H \cong \text{Spin}(W) = \text{Spin}_{6N+1}(q)$ such that φ projects H onto the subgroup

$$\{f \in \Omega(V) \mid f_U = 1_U\} \cong \Omega(W)$$

with kernel $Z(G)$. Now $\varphi(g) \in \varphi(H)$ and $H > Z(G) = \ker(\varphi)$. It follows that

$$g \in H = \text{Spin}_{6N+1}(q) = w(\text{Spin}_{6N+1}(q)) \subseteq w(G).$$

3) Finally, assume that $\lambda = -1$. By [LST, Proposition 6.3.2], there exists an even integer M (depending on w_1, w_2) such that, for any $m \geq 1$ and any odd q , $w(\text{Spin}_{2mM}^+(q))$ contains an element lying above the central involution of $\Omega_{2mM}^+(q)$. Fix an integer $k \geq 3$ coprime to $2M$. By Corollary 3.6, there exists $N \geq B$ (depending on w_1, w_2) such that $w(\text{Spin}_{2kl+1}(q)) = \text{Spin}_{2kl+1}(q)$ for all $l \geq N$. Now assume that $n > k(N + M)$. By [LST, Lemma 6.3.3], there are some integers $x > N$ and $y > 0$ such that $n = xk + yM$. Then it is shown in part 3b) of [LST, Proposition 6.3.5] that g preserves an orthogonal decomposition $V = W \oplus U$, where $\dim W = 2yM$, W is of type $+$, $\varphi(g)|_W = -1_W$, and $\dim U = 2xk + 1$ with $x > N$.

By the choice of M and since $\text{Spin}(W) \cong \text{Spin}_{2yM}^+(q)$, there is some $h \in w(\text{Spin}(W))$ lying above -1_W . Embedding $K = \text{Spin}(W)$ into $G = \text{Spin}(V)$ using [LBST3, Lemma 4.1], we may assume that $\varphi(h) = \text{diag}(-1_W, 1_U)$. On the other hand, $\varphi(g) = \text{diag}(-1_W, u)$ for some $u \in \Omega(U)$; in particular, $\varphi(h^{-1}g) = \text{diag}(1_W, u)$. Again by [LBST3, Lemma 4.1], G contains a subgroup $L \cong \text{Spin}(U) = \text{Spin}_{2xk+1}(q)$ such that φ projects L onto the subgroup

$$\{f \in \Omega(V) \mid f_W = 1_W\} \cong \Omega(U)$$

with kernel $Z(G)$. Now $\varphi(h^{-1}g) \in \varphi(L)$ and $L > Z(G) = \ker(\varphi)$. It follows that $g = ht$ for some $t \in L$. By the choice of N and since $L \cong \text{Spin}_{2xk+1}(q)$, $t \in w(L)$. Furthermore, $[K, L] = 1$ by [TZ, Lemma

6.1]. Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned} g = ht &\in w_1(K)w_2(K) \cdot w_1(L)w_2(L) \\ &\subseteq w_1(K)w_1(L) \cdot w_2(K)w_2(L) \\ &\subseteq w_1(G)w_2(G). \end{aligned}$$

□

Note that Theorem 3.8 is false in the case n is bounded (but q grows), cf. Theorem 4.6(iv).

Theorem 3.9. *Let $w = w_1w_2$ be a product of two non-trivial disjoint words w_1 and w_2 . Then there is some N depending only on w_1 and w_2 such that if $n > N$, $\epsilon = \pm$, and moreover $\epsilon \neq (-1)^{n(q-1)/2}$ if q is odd, then $w(\text{Spin}_{2n}^\epsilon(q)) = \text{Spin}_{2n}^\epsilon(q)$.*

Proof. By the main result of [LST] we may assume that q is odd. We proceed along the lines of the proof of [LST, Proposition 6.3.7]. In particular, this proof shows that there is some $B > 0$ depending only on w_1 and w_2 such that $w(G)$ contains all elements $g \in G := \text{Spin}_{2n}^\epsilon(q)$ with support $\text{supp}(g) > B$.

Let φ be the natural projection $G \rightarrow \Omega_{2n}^\epsilon(q)$. Consider any element $g \in G$ with $\text{supp}(g) \leq B$ and let $\lambda = \pm 1$ be the primary eigenvalue of g . If $\lambda = 1$, then arguing as in part 2) of the proof of Theorem 3.8 we obtain $g \in w(G)$. So we may assume that $\lambda = -1$. Now the condition $\epsilon \neq (-1)^{n(q-1)/2}$ implies that n is odd if $\epsilon = +$, and furthermore $\varphi(g) \neq -1_V$ (as $Z(\Omega_{2n}^\epsilon(q)) = 1$ in this case by [KL, Proposition 2.5.13]). By Corollary 3.7, there exists a 2-power M (depending on w_1, w_2) such that $w(\text{Spin}_{2mM}^+(q)) \supseteq Z(\text{Spin}_{2mM}^+(q))$ for any $m \geq 1$. Fix coprime odd integers $k, l \geq 3$ and an integer $v > 0$ such that $l|(kv-1)$ and $2|(n-v)$. Then by [LST, Proposition 6.6.6], there exists $L \geq B$ (depending on w_1, w_2) such that

$$w(\text{Spin}_{2s}^\epsilon(q)) \supseteq \text{Spin}_{2s}^\epsilon(q) \setminus Z(\text{Spin}_{2s}^\epsilon(q))$$

for all $s = k(2al + v)$ and $a \geq L$.

Now assume that $n > kl(2L + M) + kv$. As in the proof of [LST, Proposition 6.3.7], we see that g preserves an orthogonal decomposition $V = W \oplus U$ of the natural G -module $V = \mathbb{F}_q^{2n}$, such that $\dim W = 2yM$, $y \geq 1$, W is of type $+$, $\varphi(g)|_W = -1_W$, $\dim U = k(2xl + v)$ with $x > L$, and $\varphi(g)|_U$ has at least two eigenvalues -1 . Since $\varphi(g) \neq -1_V$, we conclude that $\varphi(g)|_U$ is not scalar. Hence by [LST, Proposition 6.3.6], there is some $t \in w(\text{Spin}(U))$ lying above $\varphi(g)|_U$. Embedding $K = \text{Spin}(U)$ into $G = \text{Spin}(V)$ using [LBST3, Lemma 4.1], we may assume that $\varphi(t) = \text{diag}(1_W, \varphi(g)|_U)$ and so $\varphi(gt^{-1}) = \text{diag}(-1_W, 1_U)$.

Again by [LBST3, Lemma 4.1], G contains a subgroup $L \cong \text{Spin}(W) = \text{Spin}_{2yM}^+(q)$ such that φ projects L onto the subgroup

$$\{f \in \Omega(V) \mid f_U = 1_U\} \cong \Omega(W)$$

with kernel $Z(G)$. Now $\varphi(gt^{-1}) \in \varphi(L)$ and $L > Z(G) = \ker(\varphi)$. It follows that $g = ht$ for some $h \in L$. By the choice of M and since $L \cong \text{Spin}_{2xk+1}(q)$, $h \in w(L)$. Since $[K, L] = 1$ by [TZ, Lemma 6.1], we conclude that $g \in w(G)$. $\square$

Note that Theorem 3.9 is false in the case $\epsilon = (-1)^{n(q-1)/2}$, see Theorem 4.6(iii).

Theorem 3.10. *Let $w = w_1 w_2$ be a product of two non-trivial disjoint words w_1 and w_2 . Then there is some N depending only on w_1 and w_2 such that if n is divisible by 2^N , then $w(\text{Sp}_{2n}(q)) = \text{Sp}_{2n}(q)$.*

Proof. By the results of [LST], it suffices to consider the case $q = p^f$ is odd. Next, the proof of [LST, Proposition 6.1.1] shows that $w(G)$ contains all non-central elements of $G := \text{Sp}_{2n}(q)$ if n is large enough. Again by Theorem 3.1, there is some N_1 depending on w such that $w(\tilde{A}_m)$ contains the central involution of $\tilde{A}_m$ if $m \geq N_1$. Without loss we may assume $4|N_1$. Let $N_2 := N_1$ if $p \nmid N_1$ and $N_2 := N_1 + 2$ if $p|N_1$. Then p is coprime to $N_2 \equiv 4, 6 \pmod{8}$. Hence by Lemma 3.5(ii), $\tilde{A}_{N_2}$ can be embedded irreducibly in $\text{Sp}_{2(N_2-2)/2}(q)$. Embedding the latter diagonally into $G = \text{Sp}_{2n}(q)$, we see that $Z(G) \subseteq w(G)$ if n is divisible by $2^{N_2/2-2}$. $\square$

Again, without the 2-divisibility condition Theorem 3.9 is false, cf. Theorem 4.6(ii).

4. LOWER BOUNDS FOR THE WIDTH

In this section we will prove several results to show that Theorem 2.1 is best possible, namely that in general the width cannot be reduced to 2. By the main result of [LST], it is natural to expect the (non-trivial) central elements of finite quasisimple groups G to be the main obstructions for words to have width 2 on G .

In what follows, we will use the notation SL^ϵ to denote SL when $\epsilon = +1$ and SU when $\epsilon = -1$, and similarly for GL^ϵ . Furthermore, $E_6^\epsilon(q)$ denotes $E_6(q)$ for $\epsilon = +1$ and ${}^2E_6(q)$ for $\epsilon = -1$. Also, if n is an integer and p is a prime, then n_p denotes the p -part of n .

We start with the SL_2 -case:

Lemma 4.1. *Let $q \geq 5$ be an odd prime power and let $2^{a+1} = (q^2 - 1)_2$ (so $a \geq 2$). Then the word $x^{2^a} y^{2^a}$ is not surjective on $G = \text{SL}_2(q)$.*

Proof. 1) First we observe that any (semisimple) 2-element in G has order dividing $q \pm 1$, whence its order is 2^b with $0 \leq b \leq a$. It follows that the central involution z of G cannot be any 2^a -power.

2) Next we claim that $C_G(g^{2^a}) = C_G(g)$ for any $g \in G$ with $g^{2^a} \neq 1$. Indeed, since $C_G(g) \leq C_G(g^{2^a})$, it suffices to check that these two centralizers have the same order. Now if $\pm g$ is a nontrivial unipotent element, then the two centralizers have order $2q$. Otherwise we may assume that g is conjugate (over $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q$) to $\text{diag}(\alpha, \alpha^{-1})$ with $\alpha^{q-\epsilon} = 1$ for some $\epsilon = \pm 1$. By our hypothesis, $\alpha^{2^a} \neq 1$; in particular, $\alpha \neq \pm 1$ and so $|C_G(g)| = q - \epsilon$. Furthermore, if $\alpha^{2^a} = -1$, then $g^{2^a} = z$, contradicting the observation in 1). Hence $\alpha^{2^a} \neq \pm 1$, and so $|C_G(g^{2^a})| = q - \epsilon$.

3) Now assume that $z = x^{2^a} y^{2^a}$ for some $x, y \in G$. By the result of 1), $y^{2^a} \neq 1$, and so $C_G(y) = C_G(y^{2^a})$ by the result of 2). Since $y^{2^a} = x^{-2^a} z$, we have $[x, y^{2^a}] = 1$, whence $x \in C_G(y^{2^a}) = C_G(y)$. It follows that $z = (xy)^{2^a}$, contrary to 1). $\square$

Theorem 4.2. *Let $G = \text{SL}_n^\epsilon(q)$, where q is a prime power and $\epsilon = \pm 1$, and let p be a prime divisor of $\gcd(n, q - \epsilon)$.*

(i) *Let a be defined as follows:*

$$a = \begin{cases} \lfloor \log_p n \rfloor + 1 + \log_p \left(\frac{q-\epsilon}{\gcd(n, q-\epsilon)} \right)_p, & p > 2 \\ \lfloor \log_2 n \rfloor + \log_2 \left(\frac{q^2-1}{\gcd(n, q-\epsilon)} \right)_2, & p = 2. \end{cases}$$

Then $x^{p^a} y^{p^a}$ is not surjective on G .

(ii) *Let a be defined as follows:*

$$a = \begin{cases} \lfloor \log_p n \rfloor + \log_p(q - \epsilon)_p, & p > 2 \\ \lfloor \log_2 n \rfloor - 1 + \log_2(q^2 - 1)_2, & p = 2 \end{cases}$$

and let $z \in G$ be a central element of order p . Then $z \neq x^{p^a} y^{p^a}$ for all $x, y \in \text{GL}_n^\epsilon(q)$.

(iii) *If $n = p > 2$ and $(q - \epsilon)_p = p$, then $x^p y^p$ is not surjective on G .*

Proof. 1) Write $p^b = (\gcd(n, q - \epsilon))_p$ so that $b \geq 1$. For (i), let $z = \omega I_n$ be a central element of order p^b of G , $\omega \in \overline{\mathbb{F}}_q$ and $|\omega| = p^b$. For (ii) and (iii), let $z = \omega I_n$ be a central element of order p of G , $\omega \in \overline{\mathbb{F}}_q$ and $|\omega| = p$. Assume the contrary: $z = x^{p^a} y^{p^a}$ for some $x, y \in \text{GL}_n^\epsilon(q)$ in (i) or (ii), or $a = 1$ and $z = x^p y^p$ for some $x, y \in G$ in (iii). Let $x = su$ and $y = tv$ be the Jordan decompositions of x and y , where s and t are semisimple, and u and v are unipotent.

2) Suppose $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$ are all the eigenvalues of the matrix s and $\mu_1, \dots, \mu_n$ are all the eigenvalues of the matrix t (with counting multiplicities). Then $\lambda_1^{p^a}, \dots, \lambda_n^{p^a}$ are all the eigenvalues of s^{p^a} and $\mu_1^{p^a}, \dots, \mu_n^{p^a}$

are all the eigenvalues of t^{p^a} . By our assumption, $[x^{p^a}, y^{p^a}] = 1$. It follows that the semisimple elements s^{p^a} and t^{p^a} also commute, and so (over $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q$) we can simultaneously conjugate them to diagonal matrices. So without loss (and relabeling the λ_i and μ_j suitably) we may assume that

$$s^{p^a} = \text{diag}(\lambda_1^{p^a}, \dots, \lambda_n^{p^a}), \quad t^{p^a} = \text{diag}(\mu_1^{p^a}, \dots, \mu_n^{p^a}).$$

In particular,

$$s^{p^a} t^{p^a} = \text{diag}((\lambda_1 \mu_1)^{p^a}, \dots, (\lambda_n \mu_n)^{p^a}).$$

Since s^{p^a} and u^{p^a} are powers of x^{p^a} , and t^{p^a} and v^{p^a} are powers of y^{p^a} , they all commute with each other. But s^{p^a} and t^{p^a} are semisimple, so $s^{p^a} t^{p^a}$ is semisimple. Similarly, since u^{p^a} and v^{p^a} are unipotent, $u^{p^a} v^{p^a}$ is unipotent. Furthermore, $s^{p^a} t^{p^a}$ and $u^{p^a} v^{p^a}$ commute. Now

$$z = (su)^{p^a} (tv)^{p^a} = s^{p^a} u^{p^a} t^{p^a} v^{p^a} = s^{p^a} t^{p^a} \cdot u^{p^a} v^{p^a}.$$

It follows that $s^{p^a} t^{p^a}$ is the semisimple part of z , whence $s^{p^a} t^{p^a} = z$. In particular, $(\lambda_1 \mu_1)^{p^a} = \omega$. Hence, if λ is the p -part of λ_1 and μ is the p -part of μ_1 , then $(\lambda \mu)^{p^a} = \omega$ (as ω is a p -element).

3) Recall that s is contained in a maximal torus of $\text{GL}_n^\epsilon(q)$ which is a direct product $\prod_{i=1}^m C_i$, where C_i is a cyclic group of order $q^{k_i} - \epsilon^{k_i}$ and $\sum_{i=1}^m k_i = n$. Then there is some k with $1 \leq k \leq n$ such that $|\lambda|$ divides $(q^k - \epsilon^k)_p$. Denote $c := \lfloor \log_p n \rfloor \geq 1$, and $p^{b_1} := (q - \epsilon)_p$ for $p > 2$ and $2^{b_1+1} := (q^2 - 1)_2$ for $p = 2$. Observe that the p -part of k is at most p^c . Since p divides $q - \epsilon$, $(q^k - \epsilon^k)_p$ is at most $(q^{p^c} - \epsilon^{p^c})_p$, which is p^{c+b_1} by our choice of b_1 .

4) We have shown that $\lambda^{p^{c+b_1}} = 1$, and similarly $\mu^{p^{c+b_1}} = 1$, whence $(\lambda \mu)^{p^{c+b_1}} = 1$. Assume we are in the case of (i). Then $(\lambda \mu)^{p^a} = \omega$ and $|\omega| = p^b$ by the result of 2). It follows that $c + b_1 \geq a + b$. On the other hand, $a + b = c + b_1 + 1$ by our choice of a , a contradiction.

Assume we are in the case of (ii). Then $(\lambda \mu)^{p^a} = \omega$ and $|\omega| = p$ by the result of 2). It follows that $c + b_1 \geq a + 1$. On the other hand, $a = c + b_1$ by our choice of a , again a contradiction.

In the case of (iii) we have $a = b = c = b_1 = 1$. Since $\lambda^{p^2} = \mu^{p^2} = 1$ and $(\lambda \mu)^p = \omega$ has order p , we may assume that $|\lambda| = p^2$. Recall that λ is the p -part of λ_1 and $(q - \epsilon)_p = p$. Hence $\lambda_1 \in \mathbb{F}_{q^{2p}} \setminus \mathbb{F}_{q^2}$, and furthermore $(\lambda_1)^{(q\epsilon)^i} = (\lambda_1)^{(q\epsilon)^j}$ precisely when $p \mid (i - j)$. The condition $x \in \text{SL}_p^\epsilon(q)$ now implies that the p eigenvalues $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_p$ of x are exactly $\lambda_1^{(q\epsilon)^i}$, $0 \leq i < p$. In this case,

$$1 = \det(x) = \lambda_1^{\frac{(q\epsilon)^p - 1}{q\epsilon - 1}},$$

and so $\lambda^{\frac{(q\epsilon)^p-1}{q\epsilon-1}} = 1$, a contradiction since $(\frac{(q\epsilon)^p-1}{q\epsilon-1})_p = p$. $\square$

As a consequence, we can now show that width 2 need not hold for all finite quasisimple groups of large enough order, and similarly Waring's problem need not have a positive solution for all finite quasisimple groups of large enough order.

Corollary 4.3. *Let $n > 2$ be any integer. Then there are finite quasisimple groups G of arbitrarily large order such that $x^n y^n$ is not surjective on G .*

Proof. Suppose that n is divisible by an odd prime p . According to Dirichlet's Theorem, there are infinitely many primes $q \equiv p+1 \pmod{p^2}$. Now by Theorem 4.2(iii), $x^n y^n$ is not surjective on $\mathrm{SL}_p(q)$. In the remaining case, $4|n$. Then again by Dirichlet's Theorem, there are infinitely many primes $q \equiv 3 \pmod{8}$, and for each such q , $x^n y^n$ is not surjective on $\mathrm{SL}_2(q)$ by Lemma 4.1. $\square$

The next statement shows that the obstruction for Waring's problem to have a positive solution is the center of the quasisimple group in question.

Corollary 4.4. *Let G be a finite quasisimple group and let p be a prime divisor of $|Z(G)|$. Then there is a power p^a of p (depending on G) such that $x^{p^a} y^{p^a}$ is not surjective on G .*

Proof. Modding out by $O_{p'}(Z(G))$, we may assume that $Z(G)$ is a p -group. Modding out further by a suitable subgroup of $Z(G)$, we may assume that $Z(G) = \langle z \rangle$ has order p . Let α be an irreducible faithful character of $Z(G)$ and let $\chi \in \mathrm{Irr}(G)$ lie above α . Fix a prime $r \neq p$ and let $\varphi \in \mathrm{IBr}_r(G)$ be an irreducible constituent of the reduction modulo r of χ . Then φ is afforded by an absolutely irreducible representation $\Phi : G \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_n(q)$ for some power q of r . Replacing q by q^{p-1} if necessary, we may assume that $p|(q-1)$. Since G is perfect, $\Phi(G) \leq \mathrm{SL}_n(q)$. But $\Phi(z) = \alpha(z)I_n$ and $\alpha(z)$ has order p . Hence $1 = \det(\Phi(z)) = \alpha(z)^n$ implies that $p|n$. Thus $p|\gcd(n, q-1)$, and $\Phi(z)$ is a central element of order p of $\mathrm{GL}_n(q)$. By Theorem 4.2(ii), there is some a (depending on n, q) such that $\Phi(z) \neq x^{p^a} y^{p^a}$ for all $x, y \in \mathrm{GL}_n(q)$. It follows that $z \neq x^{p^a} y^{p^a}$ for all $x, y \in G$. $\square$

The results in Lemma 4.1, Theorem 4.2, and Corollary 4.3 assume the groups in question have bounded rank. This constraint is removed in the next two results.

Theorem 4.5. *Let p be a prime, $n \equiv p \pmod{p^2}$, $\epsilon = \pm 1$, q a prime power with $q \equiv p + \epsilon \pmod{p^2}$ if $p > 2$ and $q \equiv 4 + \epsilon \pmod{8}$ if $p = 2$.*

Also let $a = 2$ if $p > 2$ and $a = 3$ if $p = 2$. Then $x^{p^a} y^{p^a}$ is not surjective on $G = \mathrm{SL}_n^\epsilon(q)$.

Proof. 1) Our assumptions imply that $(\gcd(n, q - \epsilon))_p = p$, hence $O_p(Z(G)) = \langle z \rangle$ has order p , and $z = \omega I_n$ with $|\omega| = p$. Assume the contrary: $z = x^r y^r$ for some $x, y \in G$ and $r := p^a$. Consider the Jordan decompositions $x = su$ and $y = tv$, with s, t semisimple. As in part 2) of the proof of Theorem 4.2, we see that $z = s^r t^r$ and s^r, t^r commute. Let $s = s_1 s_2$ and $t = t_1 t_2$, where s_1 , resp. t_1 , is the p -part of s , resp. of t , and s_2 , resp. t_2 , is the p' -part of s , resp. of t . Then again $s_1^r, s_2^r, t_1^r, t_2^r$ all commute with each other, and $s_1^r t_1^r$ is a p -element and $s_2^r t_2^r$ is a p' -element. Now

$$z = (s_1 s_2)^r (t_1 t_2)^r = s_1^r s_2^r t_1^r t_2^r = s_1^r t_1^r \cdot s_2^r t_2^r.$$

It follows that $z = s_1^r t_1^r$. Without loss we may replace s by s_1 , t by t_1 , and assume that s and t are p -elements with $z = s^r t^r$.

Suppose $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$ are all the eigenvalues of the matrix s and $\mu_1, \dots, \mu_n$ are all the eigenvalues of the matrix t (with counting multiplicities). Arguing as in part 2) of the proof of Theorem 4.2, we see that $(\lambda_1 \mu_1)^r, \dots, (\lambda_n \mu_n)^r$ are all the eigenvalues of $s^r t^r = z$ (with counting multiplicities). More precisely, if V is the natural module for $\mathrm{SL}_n^\epsilon(q)$ over $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q$, then there is a decomposition $V = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n V_i$ such that s^r acts on V_i as the scalar multiplication by λ_i^r , and t^r acts on V_i as the scalar multiplication by μ_i^r . It follows that for all i , $(\lambda_i \mu_i)^r = \omega$ and so $|\lambda_i \mu_i| = pr$.

2) As in part 3) of the proof of Theorem 4.2, for each i there is a smallest integer k_i between 1 and n such that $\lambda_i^{q^{k_i} - \epsilon^{k_i}} = 1$. Since $p|(q - \epsilon)$, the minimality of k_i implies that k_i is a p -power. We claim that either

- (i) $|\lambda_i| = rk_i/p$, or
- (ii) $k_i = 1$, and $|\lambda_i|$ divides r/p^2 .

First consider the case $p > 2$. Since $p|(q - \epsilon)$ and k_i is a p -power, we have that $(q^{k_i} - \epsilon^{k_i})_p = k_i \cdot (q - \epsilon)_p = pk_i$, and so $|\lambda_i|$ divides $pk_i = rk_i/p$. Suppose that (i) does not hold. Then $|\lambda_i|$ divides k_i . If $k_i = 1$, then $|\lambda_i| = 1 = r/p^2$, and so (ii) holds. If $k_i > 1$, then $p|k_i$. In this case, $(q^{k_i/p} - \epsilon^{k_i/p})_p = (k_i/p) \cdot (q - \epsilon)_p = k_i$, whence $\lambda_i^{q^{k_i/p} - \epsilon^{k_i/p}} = 1$, contrary to the choice of k_i .

Next assume that $p = 2$. Since $4|(q - \epsilon)$ and k_i is a 2-power, we have that $(q^{k_i} - \epsilon^{k_i})_2 = k_i \cdot (q - \epsilon)_2 = 4k_i$ and so $|\lambda_i|$ divides $4k_i = rk_i/2$. Suppose that (i) does not hold. Then $|\lambda_i|$ divides $2k_i$. If $k_i = 1$, then $|\lambda_i|$ divides $2 = r/4$, and so (ii) holds. If $k_i \geq 2$, then $2|k_i$. In this case, $(q^{k_i/2} - \epsilon^{k_i/2})_2 = (k_i/2) \cdot (q - \epsilon)_2 = 2k_i$, whence $\lambda_i^{q^{k_i/2} - \epsilon^{k_i/2}} = 1$, contrary to the choice of k_i , and the claim follows.

Similarly, for each i there is a smallest integer ℓ_i between 1 and n such that $\mu_i^{q^{\ell_i} - \epsilon^{\ell_i}} = 1$. The same argument as above shows that ℓ_i is a p -power; furthermore, either $|\mu_i| = r\ell_i/p$, or $\ell_i = 1$ and $|\mu_i|$ divides r/p^2 .

3) Consider the map J on $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q$ defined via $J(\alpha) = \alpha^{q^\epsilon}$. Then the J -orbit of λ_i has length k_i , and the J -orbit of μ_i has length ℓ_i . Next we define:

$X = \{i \mid 1 \leq i \leq n, p^2 | k_i, p^2 | \ell_i\}$, $Y = \{i \mid 1 \leq i \leq n, p^2 | k_i, p^2 \nmid \ell_i\}$,
 $Z = \{i \mid 1 \leq i \leq n, p^2 \nmid k_i, p^2 | \ell_i\}$, $T = \{i \mid 1 \leq i \leq n, p^2 \nmid k_i, p^2 \nmid \ell_i\}$.
 Here we show that p^2 divides $|X|$, $|Y|$, and $|Z|$.

3a) Suppose for instance that $1 \in X$. Then $p^2 | k_1$, and so by the results of 2), $|\lambda_1| = rk_1/p$. Similarly, $|\mu_1| = r\ell_1/p$. Since $s \in \text{SL}_n^\epsilon(q)$, $J(\lambda_1)$ is an eigenvalue of s , whence $J(\lambda_1) = \lambda_i$ for some i between 1 and n . We now show that *every i with $\lambda_i = J(\lambda_1)$ must belong to X* . Indeed, $|\lambda_i| = |\lambda_1^{q^\epsilon}| = |\lambda_1| = rk_1/p$ is divisible by p^3 . So by 2), $|\lambda_i| = rk_i/p$, and $k_i = k_1$ is divisible by p^2 . Next, since $|\omega| = p$ divides $q - \epsilon$, we see that $\omega^{q^\epsilon} = \omega$. Hence,

$$\lambda_i^r \mu_i^r = \omega = \omega^{q^\epsilon} = ((\lambda_1 \mu_1)^r)^{q^\epsilon} = (\lambda_1^{q^\epsilon})^r (\mu_1)^{rq^\epsilon} = \lambda_i^r (\mu_1)^{rq^\epsilon},$$

and so $\mu_i^r = (\mu_1)^{rq^\epsilon}$, whence $|\mu_i^r| = |\mu_1^r|$. On the other hand, $|\mu_1^r| = \ell_1/p$ is divisible by p . It follows that $|\mu_i^r| = \ell_1/p$ is divisible by p and so $|\mu_i| = r\ell_1/p$ is divisible by p^3 . Again by 2), we now have that $|\mu_i| = r\ell_i/p$, and $\ell_i = \ell_1$ is divisible by p^2 . Thus $i \in X$.

Repeating this argument with λ_1 replaced by $J^b(\lambda_1)$ for any b , we see that every i with λ_i in the J -orbit of λ_1 must belong to X . Certainly, all these λ_i occur with the same multiplicity in the spectrum of s on V . We have shown that J acts on the set $\{V_i \mid i \in X\}$ (according to the action of J on the λ_i 's), and each orbit has length divisible by p^2 . Therefore p^2 divides $|X|$.

3b) Similarly, now suppose for instance that $1 \in Y$. Then $p^2 | k_1$, and so by 2), $|\lambda_1| = rk_1/p$. As above, $J(\lambda_1) = \lambda_i$ for some i between 1 and n . We now show that *every i with $\lambda_i = J(\lambda_1)$ must belong to Y* . Indeed, $|\lambda_i| = |\lambda_1^{q^\epsilon}| = |\lambda_1| = rk_1/p$ is divisible by p^3 . So by 2), $|\lambda_i| = rk_i/p$, and $k_i = k_1$ is divisible by p^2 . Next, as above we also have $\mu_i^r = (\mu_1)^{rq^\epsilon}$, whence $|\mu_i^r| = |\mu_1^r|$. Since $1 \in Y$, $\ell_1 = p$ or $\ell_1 = 1$. By the results of 2), $\mu_1^r = 1$, and so $\mu_i^r = 1$. This in turn implies by 2) that $p^2 \nmid \ell_i$, i.e. $i \in Y$.

Repeating this argument with λ_1 replaced by $J^b(\lambda_1)$ for any b , we see that every i with λ_i in the J -orbit of λ_1 must belong to Y . As before, all these λ_i occur with the same multiplicity in the spectrum of s on V . We have shown that J acts on the set $\{V_i \mid i \in Y\}$ (according to

the action of J on the λ_i 's), and each orbit has length divisible by p^2 , hence p^2 divides $|Y|$.

Reversing the roles of λ_i 's and μ_i 's, we see that p^2 divides $|Z|$.

4) We have shown that p^2 divides $|X|$, $|Y|$, and $|Z|$. But $|X| + |Y| + |Z| + |T| = n \equiv p \pmod{p^2}$, hence $T \neq \emptyset$. Thus we can find m such that $p^2 \nmid k_m$ and $p^2 \nmid \ell_m$. By the results of 2), this implies that $\lambda_m^r = 1 = \mu_m^r$. But in this case, $\omega = (\lambda_m \mu_m)^r = 1$, a contradiction. $\square$

Theorem 4.6. (i) Suppose $n \equiv 3 \pmod{9}$, $\epsilon = \pm 1$, and $q \equiv 3 + \epsilon \pmod{9}$. Then $x^9 y^9$ is not surjective on $\mathrm{SL}_n^\epsilon(q)$.

(ii) Suppose $n \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$ and $q \equiv 5 \pmod{8}$. Then $x^8 y^8$ is not surjective on $\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(q)$.

(iii) Suppose $n \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$, $\epsilon = \pm 1$ and $q \equiv 4 + \epsilon \pmod{8}$. Then $x^8 y^8$ is not surjective on $\Omega_{2n}^\epsilon(q)$ and $\mathrm{Spin}_{2n}^\epsilon(q)$.

(iv) Suppose $q \equiv \pm 3 \pmod{8}$ and $n \geq 2$. Then $x^{2^{n+2}} y^{2^{n+2}}$ is not surjective on $\mathrm{Spin}_{2n+1}(q)$.

(v) Suppose $\epsilon = \pm 1$ and $q \equiv 3 + \epsilon \pmod{9}$. Then $x^{81} y^{81}$ is not surjective on the simply connected group $E_6^\epsilon(q)$.

(vi) Suppose $q \equiv \pm 3 \pmod{8}$. Then $x^{128} y^{128}$ is not surjective on the simply connected group $E_7(q)$.

Proof. (i) This is the particular case $p = 3$ of Theorem 4.5.

(ii) Embed $\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(q)$ in $G = \mathrm{SL}_{2n}(q)$. Then the central involution z of $\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(q)$ is the unique generator of $O_2(Z(G))$. Now the proof of Theorem 4.5 shows that $z \neq x^8 y^8$ for all $x, y \in G$.

(iii) The conditions on (n, q, ϵ) imply that $Z(\Omega_{2n}^\epsilon(q)) = \langle z \rangle \cong C_2$ and $Z(\mathrm{Spin}_{2n}^\epsilon(q)) \cong C_4$. Embed $\Omega_{2n}^\epsilon(q)$ in $G = \mathrm{SL}_{2n}^\epsilon(q)$. Then the central involution z of $\Omega_{2n}^\epsilon(q)$ is the unique generator of $O_2(Z(G))$. Now we can argue as in (ii).

(iv) The *spin* representation embeds $\mathrm{Spin}_{2n+1}(q)$ (irreducibly) into $G = \mathrm{SL}_{2n}(q)$, so that $Z(G)$ contains the central involution z of $\mathrm{Spin}_{2n+1}(q)$, cf. [KL, Proposition 5.4.9]. Now we can apply Theorem 4.2(ii).

(v) One can embed $E_6^\epsilon(q)$ (irreducibly) into $G = \mathrm{SL}_{27}^\epsilon(q)$, so that $Z(G)$ contains a central element t of $E_6^\epsilon(q)$ of order 3, cf. [KL, Proposition 5.4.17]. Now apply Theorem 4.2(ii).

(vi) One can embed $E_7(q)$ (irreducibly) into $G = \mathrm{SL}_{56}(q)$, so that $Z(G)$ contains the central involution z of $E_7(q)$, cf. [KL, Proposition 5.4.18]. Now apply Theorem 4.2(ii). $\square$

5. PRODUCTS OF SQUARES IN FINITE QUASISIMPLE GROUPS

Corollary 4.3 leaves out the word x^2y^2 . It was shown in [LBST2] (and independently in [GM]) that x^2y^2 is surjective on any non-abelian simple group. It turns out that this word is also surjective on any finite quasisimple group:

Theorem 5.1. *Let G be any finite quasisimple group. Then x^2y^2 is surjective on G .*

Proof. 1) Without loss we may assume that $Z(G)$ is a nontrivial 2-group. (Indeed, if $Z_1 = O_{2'}(Z(L))$, then the center of the quasisimple group G/Z_1 is a 2-group. Applying the main result of [LBST2] in the case $Z(G/Z_1) = 1$ and our hypothesis otherwise, we see that for any $g \in G$, there are $x, y \in G$ and $t \in Z_1$ such that $g = x^2y^2t$. Since $|Z_1|$ is odd, $t = z^2$ for some $z \in Z_1$, and so $g = (xz)^2y^2$.) So in what follows we will consider only such finite quasisimple groups G , and let $S := G/Z(G)$.

Recall that $g \in G$ is a product of two squares if and only if

$$(\star) : \sum_{\chi = \bar{\chi} \in \text{Irr}(G)} \frac{\chi(g)}{\chi(1)} \neq 0.$$

Now let $\mathcal{C}$ be the collection of the following simple groups: A_n , $5 \leq n \leq 13$, $\text{Sp}_6(2)$, $G_2(4)$, $F_4(2)$, $\text{PSL}_3(4)$, $\text{PSU}_6(2)$, ${}^2E_6(2)$, $\Omega_8^+(2)$, ${}^2B_2(8)$, M_{12} , M_{22} , J_2 , HS , Suz , Ru , Co_1 , Fi_{22} , BM . Using the criterion $(\star)$ and character tables available in **GAP** and **Magma**, Eamonn O'Brien has checked the statement in the cases $S \in \mathcal{C}$. Thus we may assume $S \notin \mathcal{C}$. Then either

- (i) $G = 2A_n$ with $n \geq 14$, or
- (ii) G is a quotient of a quasisimple group L of Lie type in *odd* characteristic p of simply connected type.

2) We will handle the case (i) of alternating groups by induction on n . Observe that any element in $2A_4 \cong \text{SL}_2(3)$ is a product of two squares. Hence the induction base $4 \leq n \leq 13$ has been established. Following the approach of [LBST2], we say that $g \in 2A_n$ is *breakable*, if $g = xy$ lies in a central product $2A_r * 2A_{n-r}$ (so $x \in 2A_r$ and $y \in 2A_{n-r}$) with $4 \leq r \leq n-4$. Applying the induction hypothesis to r and $n-r$, we see that any breakable element in $2A_n$ is a product of two squares. Assume $g \in 2A_n$ is unbreakable. The proof of Lemma 3.3 of [LBST2] shows that g must satisfy one of the conclusions of this Lemma; in particular, $|C_{A_n}(g)| \leq (3/4) \cdot (n-3)^2$. Now Lemmas 3.4 and 3.6 of

[LBST2] imply that

$$\sum_{1_G \neq \chi \in \text{Irr}(G)} \frac{|\chi(g)|}{|\chi(1)|} < 0.876.$$

Hence g satisfies $(\star)$ and so g is a product of two squares. Thus the induction step has been completed.

3) From now on we may assume that we are in the case (ii). By [EG, §4], every non-central element $g \in L$ is a product of two unipotent elements $g = uv$. Then u and v are of odd order and so they are squares in L . It remains therefore to show that any non-trivial 2-element in $Z(L)$ is a product of two squares.

Here we consider the case $L = \text{SL}_n^\epsilon(q)$ and assume that $z = \omega I_n \in Z(L)$ has order $2^a \geq 2$. Denote $(q - \epsilon)_2 = 2^b$, so that $a \leq b$ and $2^a | n$. Embedding z into a direct product

$$\text{SL}_{2^a}^\epsilon(q) \times \text{SL}_{2^a}^\epsilon(q) \times \dots \times \text{SL}_{2^a}^\epsilon(q) < L,$$

we may furthermore assume that $n = 2^a$.

Suppose first that $b \geq a + 1$. Then the diagonal torus $C_{q-\epsilon}^m$ of $\text{GL}_n^\epsilon(q)$ contains the element

$$x = \text{diag}(\alpha, \alpha, \dots, \alpha, -\alpha)$$

with $\alpha^2 = \omega$. Now $\det(x) = -\alpha^{2^a} = -\omega^{2^{a-1}} = 1$, i.e. $x \in L$, and $x^2 = z$.

Now suppose that $b = a = 1$; in particular, $L \cong \text{SL}_2(q)$. Then the cyclic torus $C_{q+\epsilon}$ contains an element y of order 4, and $y^2 = z$.

We may now assume that $b = a \geq 2$. Since $n = 2^a \geq 4$, we have

$$(q^{n/2} - \epsilon^{n/2})_2 = (q^2 - 1)_2 \cdot \frac{n}{4} = 2^{2a-1}.$$

Choose $\gamma \in \overline{\mathbb{F}}_q$ of order 2^{2a-1} such that $\omega = \gamma^{2^{a-1}}$. Now the cyclic torus $C_{q^{n/2}-\epsilon^{n/2}}^\epsilon$ of $\text{GL}_{n/2}^\epsilon(q)$ contains an element t of order 2^{2a-1} (conjugate to

$$\text{diag}(\gamma, \gamma^{q^\epsilon}, \dots, \gamma^{(q^\epsilon)^{n/2-1}})$$

over $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q$). Set

$$x := \text{diag}(t^{2^{a-2}}, t^{3 \cdot 2^{a-2}}), \quad y = \text{diag}(I_{n/2-1}, -1, \omega^{-1} I_{n/2}).$$

Then $x \in \text{GL}_n^\epsilon(q)$ and

$$\det(x) = (\det(t))^{2^a} = \gamma^{2^a \cdot \frac{(q^\epsilon)^{n/2}-1}{q^\epsilon-1}} = 1,$$

i.e. $x \in L$. By its choice, y lies in the diagonal torus $C_{q-\epsilon}^m$ of $\text{GL}_n^\epsilon(q)$ and $\det(y) = -\omega^{-n/2} = 1$, i.e. $y \in L$. It remains to observe that $z = x^2 y^2$.

In particular, we have shown that any element in $\mathrm{SL}_2(q)$ with $q \geq 3$ odd is a product of two squares.

4) Now we will consider the remaining Lie-type groups L of odd characteristic. If $L = \mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(q)$, then we can embed the central involution z of L into a direct product $\mathrm{Sp}_2(q) \times \dots \times \mathrm{Sp}_2(q) < L$ and apply the result of 3) to each factor $\mathrm{Sp}_2(q) \cong \mathrm{SL}_2(q)$.

Suppose $L = \mathrm{Spin}_n(q)$ with $n \geq 7$ odd, or $L = \mathrm{Spin}_n^\epsilon(q)$ with $n \geq 8$ even and $q^{n/2} - \epsilon \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$. Then the central involution z of L can be embedded in $\mathrm{Spin}_3(q) \cong \mathrm{SL}_2(q)$ (see [LBST2, Lemma 4.1]), and so we can apply the results of 3) to $\mathrm{SL}_2(q)$.

Suppose $L = \mathrm{Spin}_{2n}^\epsilon(q)$ with $n \geq 4$ and $4 \mid (q^n - \epsilon)$. Assume in addition that $2 \mid n$ (and so $\epsilon = +$). Then embed $Z(\Omega_{2n}^+(q)) \cong C_2$ in a direct product

$$\Omega_4^+(q) \times \dots \times \Omega_4^+(q) < \Omega_{2n}^+(q).$$

By [LBST2, Lemma 4.1], this embeds $Z(L)$ (of order 4) in the central product

$$\mathrm{Spin}_4^+(q) * \dots * \mathrm{Spin}_4^+(q) < L.$$

Since $\mathrm{Spin}_4^+(q) \cong \mathrm{SL}_2(q) \times \mathrm{SL}_2(q)$, we can now apply the results of 3) to each factor $\mathrm{Spin}_4^+(q)$. Now assume that n is odd, whence $n \geq 5$ and $4 \mid (q - \epsilon)$. Then embed $Z(\Omega_{2n}^\epsilon(q)) \cong C_2$ in a direct product

$$\Omega_4^+(q) \times \dots \times \Omega_4^+(q) \times \Omega_6^\epsilon(q) < \Omega_{2n}^\epsilon(q).$$

By [LBST2, Lemma 4.1], this embeds $Z(L)$ (of order 4) in the central product

$$\mathrm{Spin}_4^+(q) * \dots * \mathrm{Spin}_4^+(q) * \mathrm{Spin}_6^\epsilon(q) < L.$$

Since $\mathrm{Spin}_4^+(q) \cong \mathrm{SL}_2(q) \times \mathrm{SL}_2(q)$ and $\mathrm{Spin}_6^\epsilon(q) \cong \mathrm{SL}_4^\epsilon(q)$, we can now apply the results of 3) to each factor of the last subgroup.

It remains to consider the central involution z of the simply connected group L of type $E_7(q)$. By [LBST2, Lemma 5.1], we can embed $Z(L)$ into $\mathrm{Spin}_{12}^+(q)$, and so we are done by the previous step. $\square$

REFERENCES

- [BG] T. Bandman and S. Garion: Surjectivity and equidistribution of the word $x^a y^b$ on $\mathrm{PSL}_2(q)$ and $\mathrm{SL}_2(q)$, arXiv:1106.1619 (preprint).
- [EG] E.W. Ellers and N. Gordeev: On the conjectures of J. Thompson and O. Ore, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **350** (1998), 3657–3671.
- [GLL] S. Garion, M. Larsen, and A. Lubotzky: Beauville surfaces and finite simple groups, to appear in *J. Reine Angew. Math. (Crelle)*.
- [Gow] R. Gow, Unimodular integral lattices associated with the basic spin representations of $2A_n$ and $2S_n$: *Bull. London Math. Soc.* **21** (1989), 257–262.
- [Go] W.T. Gowers: Quasirandom groups, *Combin. Probab. Comput.* **17** (2008), 363–387.
- [GM] R. M. Guralnick and G. Malle: Product of conjugacy classes and fixed point spaces, to appear in *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*
- [KL] P. B. Kleidman and M. W. Liebeck: *The Subgroup Structure of the Finite Classical Groups*, London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser. no. 129, Cambridge University Press, 1990.
- [LSe] V. Landazuri and G.M. Seitz: On the minimal degrees of projective representations of the finite Chevalley groups, *J. Algebra* **32** (1974), 418–443.
- [La] M. Larsen: Word maps have large image, *Israel J. Math.* **139** (2004), 149–156.
- [LSh] M. Larsen and A. Shalev: Word maps and Waring type problems, *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* **22** (2009), 437–466.
- [LSh2] M. Larsen and A. Shalev: Characters of symmetric groups: sharp bounds and applications, *Invent. Math.* **174** (2008), 645–687.
- [LST] M. Larsen, A. Shalev, and P.H. Tiep: Waring problem for finite simple groups, to appear in *Annals of Math.*
- [LBST1] M.W. Liebeck, E.A. O’Brien, A. Shalev, and P.H. Tiep: The Ore conjecture, *J. Europ. Math. Soc.* **12** (2010), 939–1008.
- [LBST2] M. Liebeck, E. O’Brien, A. Shalev, and P.H. Tiep: Products of squares in finite simple groups, to appear in *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*
- [LBST3] M.W. Liebeck, E.A. O’Brien, A. Shalev, and P.H. Tiep: Commutators in finite quasisimple groups, to appear in *Bull. London Math. Soc.*
- [LiSh] M.W. Liebeck and A. Shalev: Character degrees and random walks in finite groups of Lie type, *Proc. London Math. Soc.* (3) **90** (2005), 61–86.
- [NP] N. Nikolov and L. Pyber: Product decompositions of quasirandom groups and a Jordan type theorem, *J. Europ. Math. Soc.* **13** (2011), 1063–1077.
- [Sch] I. Schur: Über die Darstellung der symmetrischen und der alternierenden Gruppe durch gebrochene lineare Substitutionen, *J. Reine Angew. Math. (Crelle)* **139** (1911), 155–250.
- [Sh] A. Shalev: Word maps, conjugacy classes, and a non-commutative Waring-type theorem, *Annals of Math.* **170** (2009), 1383–1416.
- [Sh2] A. Shalev: Mixing and generation in simple groups, *J. Algebra* **319** (2008), 3075–3086.
- [T] Pham Huu Tiep: Basic spin representations of $2S_n$ and $2A_n$ as globally irreducible representations, *Archiv Math.* **64** (1995), 103–112.

- [TZ] Pham Huu Tiep and A. E. Zalesskii: Real conjugacy classes in algebraic groups and finite groups of Lie type, *J. Group Theory* **8** (2005), 291–315.

E-mail address: `larsen@math.indiana.edu`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, INDIANA UNIVERSITY, BLOOMINGTON, IN 47405, U.S.A.

E-mail address: `shalev@math.huji.ac.il`

EINSTEIN INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, HEBREW UNIVERSITY, GIVAT RAM, JERUSALEM 91904, ISRAEL

E-mail address: `tiep@math.arizona.edu`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF ARIZONA, TUCSON, AZ 85721, U. S. A.